IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:10-CV-00265-H

MICHAEL BATEMAN, VIRGIL GREEN,)
FORREST MINGES, JR., GRNC/FFE, INC.,)
and SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION,)
INC.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
) CONSENT MOTION FOR
v.) EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
	REPLY BRIEF
BEVERLY PERDUE, REUBEN F. YOUNG,)
STOKES COUNTY, and CITY OF KING,)
)
Defendants.)

NOW COMES the undersigned counsel, on behalf of Defendants Beverly Perdue and Reuben F. Young (hereafter collectively "the State Defendants"), pursuant to Rule 6(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and moves the Court for an extension of time, up to and including the 3rd day of February, 2011, in which to file a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment. In support of this motion, the State Defendants show unto the Court the following:

- (1) Plaintiffs' memorandum in opposition to the State Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment was filed on January 10, 2011 and the State Defendants' reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment is currently due on January 24, 2011;
- (2) Counsel for the State Defendants intends to submit a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment and respectfully submits that he needs additional time in which to do so. The undersigned counsel has been required to spend considerable time over the past few months defending a lawsuit in North Carolina Superior Court and in the North Carolina Court of

Appeals involving a constitutional challenge to a statute enacted by the General Assembly

prohibiting certain types of video sweepstakes;

In addition, nineteen days ago, the Fourth Circuit issued a decision in *United States v*.

Chester, F.3d , 2010 U.S. App. LEXIS 26508, which represents its most definitive

interpretation of the United States Supreme Court's decision in *District of Columbia v. Heller*,

U.S. , 128 S. Ct. 2783 (2008), the case that lies at the heart of the Second Amendment claims

being asserted by Plaintiffs in the present lawsuit. The undersigned counsel needs additional time

to prepare a reply brief that fully takes into account the decision in *Chester*; and

Counsel for Plaintiffs has stated that he consents to this motion.

WHEREFORE, the State Defendants move the Court for an extension of time, up to and

including the 3rd day of February, 2011, in which to file a reply brief in support of their Motion for

Summary Judgment.

Respectfully submitted, this the 18th day of January, 2011.

ROY COOPER

Attorney General

/s/Mark A. Davis

Mark A. Davis

Special Deputy Attorney General

Attorney for The State Defendants

N.C. Department of Justice

Post Office Box 629

Raleigh, NC 27602

E-mail: mdavis@ncdoj.gov

Telephone: (919) 716-6900

Facsimile: (919) 716-6763

State Bar No. 18142

-2-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

I hereby certify that on this day, January 18, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing

Alan Gura Gura & Possessky, PLLC 101 N. Columbus Street, Suite 405 Alexandria, VA 22314

Wes J. Camden Kearns Davis Brooks, Pierce, McLendon Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1800 Raleigh, NC 27602 Walter W. Pitt, Jr. Kevin G. Williams Bell, Davis & Pitt P.O. Box 21029 Winston-Salem, NC 27120

Henry W. Jones, Jr. Lori P. Jones Jordan Price Wall Gray Jones & Carlton, PLLC 1951 Clark Avenue P.O. Box 10669 Raleigh, NC 27605

/s/Mark A. Davis
Special Deputy Attorney General